SOCIAL NETWORK MINING

Chris Ayala CSE 8331 – Data Mining March 16, 2015

Social Networks

- Collection of users
- Users are somehow related to one another
- Friends, followers, likes, real-world groups



http://blog.revolutionanalytics.com/2010/12/facebooks-social-network-graph.html

Social Networks as a Graph

- Nodes represent users, edges represent relationships
- Edges can have weights (e.g. more interaction = more weight)
- Can be used to find clusters



https://griffsgraphs.wordpress.com/2012/07/02/a-facebook-network/

Questions we can ask

- Based on relationships, what clusters can we detect?
- Similar people may not be friends. Can we provide recommendations?
- Would similar people be interested in similar advertising?
- Are there outliers? What do outliers represent? What constitutes an outlier?
- If lots of people have a relationship with a certain person, does this mean they would likely have a relationship with another?
- What is the average degree of separation between any two people?

The Cut of a graph

- Defined as a partition of the graph into two sets, S and T
- A cut is a set of edges, where one node on an edge is in set S, and the other in set T
- The size of the cut is how many edges cross the cut



The Cut of a graph

- We want to minimize the size of the cut
- As in, create sets such that there are as few edges between sets as possible
- Only considers outbound edges from a set, not edges inside the sets
- Are these different? Which is better?



An improvement

- We also want to consider the interconnectedness of a set
- Minimize the cut, maximize the "volume" of the resulting sets
- Known as the normalized cut
- vol(A) = sum of degrees of the nodes in A
- m = number of edges in graph

$$\phi(A) = \frac{|\{(i, j) \in E; i \in A, j \notin A\}|}{\min(vol(A), 2m - vol(A))} = \frac{\operatorname{cut}(A)}{\operatorname{vol}(A)}$$

Thus a lower Phi is better



Thus the left cut should be preferred

Why this is important

- Optimizing that equation helps us find distinct groups of people
- Meant for disjoint groups. Not meant for overlaps
- How do we efficiently find groups in the first place?



Modularity

- Defined by M.E.J. Newman and M. Girvan in 2003
- Newman, M. E. J. & Girvan, M. (2004), 'Finding and evaluating community structure in networks', Phys. Rev. E 69 (2), 026113
- A means of finding communities in graphs
- "A good division of a network into communities is not merely one in which there are few edges between communities; it is one in which there are <u>fewer than expected</u> edges between communities"
- Newman MEJ. 'Modularity and community structure in networks'. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2006;103(23):8577-8582. doi:10.1073/pnas.0601602103.

Modularity

- Want to find groups where number of edges in the group is higher than what we expect by random chance
- Another view: between-group edges is lower than random
- Higher modularity = more likely to be a group

Adjacency Matrix

- Matrix that shows connections
- $A_{ij} = 1$ if nodes i and j are connected, 0 otherwise
- Symmetric Matrix



Α	В	С	D	Ε	F	G
0	1	1	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	1	0	0	0
0	0	1	0	1	1	0
0	0	0	1	0	0	1
0	0	0	1	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	A 0 1 0 0 0 0	 A B 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 	 A B C 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 	A B C D 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0	A B C D E 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 1	A B C D E F 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0

Modularity cont.

- Suppose we permute the edges of the graph, while keeping the degree of each node unchanged
- The expected number of edges that connect i and j:
- $e = (k_i k_j)/2m$
- where 2m = sum of all degrees in graph
- k_i = degree of node i
- Recall: actual number is either 0 or 1 from A
- We want to sum up (actual expected) for each node in the set

Modularity cont.

- Suppose we divide the graph into two sets
- We define:
- $s_i = 1$ if node i is in set 1
- $s_i = -1$ if node i is in set 2
- Observe: $(s_i * s_j + 1)/2$
- If two nodes i and j are in the same set, then that equals 1
- Otherwise, it equals 0

Finally: Modularity Defined!

- Modularity Q is given by the sum of $A_{ij} k_i k_j/2m$ over all pairs of vertices *i*, *j* that fall in the same group."
- Restated: Sum of actual (A_{ij}) minus expected $(k_i k_j/2m)$ over all pairs of vertices in the same group
- We want to maximize modularity



Example
$$Q = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{vw} \left[A_{vw} - \frac{k_v * k_w}{2m} \right] \frac{s_v s_w + 1}{2}$$



Thus $Q_{s2} \sim = 1.78$

Example
$$Q = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{vw} \left[A_{vw} - \frac{k_v * k_w}{2m} \right] \frac{s_v s_w + 1}{2}$$



 $M_{cd} = 1 - 9/14 = 5/14$ $M_{ce} = 0 - 6/14 = -6/14$ $M_{cf} = 0 - 3/14 = -3/14$ $M_{de} = 1 - 6/14 = 8/14$ $M_{df} = 1 - 3/14 = 11/14$ $M_{ef} = 0 - 2/14 = -2/14$

Thus, $Q_{s1} \sim = 1.07$

Problems at scale

- Social networks often have millions of active users
- Finding the optimal cut is computationally difficult
- Modularity helps
- Visualizing can be problematic



Visualization Tools



http://gephi.github.io



http://www.cytoscape.org

Sample Datasets

- Facebook Netvizz Can be used to download a graph of your personal network
- Alternatively: GetNet (<u>http://snacourse.com/getnet</u>)
- Will use this in a demo shortly
- Arizona State University Social Computing: <u>http://socialcomputing.asu.edu/pages/datasets</u>
- Stanford Large Network Dataset Collection: <u>https://snap.stanford.edu/data/</u>
- Recommended Reading: "Mining of Massive Datasets"
- Jure Leskovec, Anand Rajaraman, Jeff Ullman
- <u>http://www.mmds.org</u>

Demo

Thanks!