

Churn Prediction with Support Vector Machine PIMPRAPAI THAINIAM EMIS8331 Data Mining



Table of Contents

Customer Churn
Support Vector Machine

* Research



What are Customer Churn and Customer Retention?

- » Customer churn (customer attrition) refers to when customers (subscribers or users) discontinue their subscription to that service.
- » Customer retention is the activity a company undertakes to prevent customers from switching to alternative companies or cancelling the services (churn or attrition).
- » Customer churn (customer attrition) is an important issue for mature industries.
- » Customer churn is easy to define in subscription-based businesses.



Subscription-based businesses

- » Mobile phone service providers
- » Insurance companies
- » Cable companies
- » Financial services companies
- » Internet service providers
- » Newspapers
- » Magazines



Why Churn Matters?

» Lost customers must be replaced by new customers.

» New customers are expensive to acquire.



Different Kinds of Churn

- » Voluntary churn : The customer decides to quit his contract himself because of unsatisfaction with the quality of service.
- » Involuntary churn (Forced churn) : The company discontinues the contract itself rather than customer.
- » Expected churn : The customer decides to quit his contract himself because of some reasons other than unsatisfaction, for example customer's relocation.



Different Kinds of Churn Model

- » Predicting who will leave (Churn prediction) : This method is trying to predict which customer will leave and which will stay. The outcome for each customer will be binary outcome.
- » Predicting how long customers will stay : This kind of churn modeling is survival analysis. The outcome will be hazard probability which is the probability that the customer will leave before tomorrow.



- » Support vector machines (SVM) are a group of supervised learning methods that can be applied to classification or regression.
- » The original SVM algorithm was invented by Vladimir Vapnik and Alexey Chervonenkis in 1963.



Linearly separable dataset





Which line is the best line for classification?









SMU.

Why is bigger margin better?

Y > **X**







$$\vec{w} \cdot \vec{u} + b \ge 0 \quad then +$$

$$- \vec{w} \cdot \vec{x}_{+} + b \ge 1$$

$$- \vec{w} \cdot \vec{x}_{-} + b \le -1$$

$$y_{i} \text{ such that } y_{i} = +1 \text{ for } + \text{ sample}$$

$$y_{i} = -1 \text{ for } - \text{ sample}$$

$$+ y_{i}(\vec{w} \cdot \vec{x}_{+} + b) \ge 1$$

$$+ y_{i}(\vec{w} \cdot \vec{x}_{-} + b) \ge 1$$

 $y_i(\vec{w}\cdot\vec{x}_i+b)-1\geq 0$



 $=\frac{\vec{w}}{\|w\|}$, is a unit vector and perpendicular to a median line.



$$y_i(w \cdot x_i + b) - 1 = 0$$
 for *i* in gutter

a unit vector

$$Margin = (\vec{x}_{+} - \vec{x}_{-}) \cdot \frac{\vec{w}}{\|w\|}$$
$$= \frac{(1-b) + (1+b)}{\|w\|}$$
$$= \frac{2}{\|w\|}$$





Maximize
$$\frac{2}{\|w\|}$$
 Maximize $\frac{1}{\|w\|}$ Minimize $\|w\|$ Minimize $\frac{1}{2}\|w\|^2$
var2
Minimize $\frac{1}{2}\|w\|^2$
subject to
 $y_i(\vec{w}\cdot\vec{x}_i+b) \ge 1$ for $i = 1,2,...,N$
Use Lagragian Method and Quadratic
Programming to solve for \vec{w} and b .
 $\vec{w}\cdot\vec{x}+b=0$



Lagrangian Method

Linearly unseparable dataset







Linearly unseparable dataset





Linearly unseparable dataset





Support Vector Machine Linearly unseparable dataset 3D SVM



(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3liCbRZPrZA)

Transformation function : $\varphi(x, y) = xy(x^2 + y^2)$



The Kernel Trick

$$L(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j \vec{x}_i \cdot \vec{x}_j$$

 $L(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j \varphi(\vec{x}_i) \cdot \varphi(\vec{x}_j) \quad \text{(z-dimensional space)}$ Kernel function : $K(\vec{x}_i, \vec{x}_j) = \varphi(\vec{x}_i) \cdot \varphi(\vec{x}_j)$

kernel function is the function that provide us the dot product of the

The kernel function is the function that provide us the dot product of the 2 vectors in z space without visiting the z space.

$$L(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j K(\vec{x}_i, \vec{x}_j)$$



Commonly used kernel functions

Linear Kernel

 $k(x, y) = x^T y + c$ c: optional constant

Polynomial Kernel

$$k(x, y) = (\alpha x^{T} y + c)^{d}$$

$$\alpha : slope, c : constant, d : polynomial degree$$

Exponential Kernel

$$k(x,y) = exp\left(-\frac{\|x-y\|}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$
$$k(x,y) = exp\left(-\frac{\|x-y\|}{\sigma}\right)$$

Laplacian Kernel

Sigmoid Kernel
$$k(x, y) = tanh(\alpha x^T y + c)$$



Churn Prediction with Support Vector Machine

Model of Customer Churn Prediction on Support Vector Machine

(by Xia, Guo-en, and Wei-dong Jin, 2008)

- » Churn prediction for mobile telecommunication company.
- » The average churn rate in mobile telecommunication is 2.2% per month.
- » The acquisition cost of a new customer is about \$300 \$600.
- » The acquisition cost is about 5-6 times of retention cost of an existing customer.



Churn Prediction with Support Vector Machine

- » The 2 datasets used are (1) Mobile telecommunication dataset (2) Home telecommunication carry dataset.
- » The researchers used Radial basis kernel function with u = 0.12 for dataset (1) and u = 1 for dataset (2).

Radial Basis Kernel : $k(x, y) = exp(-u||x - y||^2)$

» The accuracy rate, hit rate, coverage rate, and lift coefficient from SVM were compared with the artificial neural network, decision tree, logistic regression, and naïve bayesian classifier.



Customer state	Prediction churn	Prediction non-churn	
Actual churn	А	В	
Actual non-churn	С	D	

Accuracy Rate =
$$\frac{A+D}{A+B+C+D}$$

Hit Rate = $\frac{A}{A+C}$
Coverage Rate = $\frac{A}{A+B}$
Hit Pat

 $Lift Coefficient = \frac{Hit Rate}{Churn Rate of the test set}$



Churn Prediction with Support Vector Machine

Prediction results from dataset (1)	Model type	Accuracy rate	Hit rate	Coverage rate	e Lift coefficient
	SVM	0.9088	0.8333	0.4018	6.2186
	ANN Decision tree C4.5	0.8983 0.8386	0.7538	0.3625 0.3437	5.6256 2.8876
	Logistic regression	0.8716	0.6190	0.1160	4.6198
	Naive bayesian classifiers	0.8782	0.7142	0.1562	5.3305
Prediction results from dataset (2)	Model type	Accuracy	Hit	Coverage	e Lift
		rate	rate	rate	coefficient
	SVM	0.5963	0.7141	0.1620	1.5975
	ANN	0.5569	0.7500	0.0139	1.6779
	Decision tee C4.5	0.5248	0.4657	0.4236	1.0417
	τ	0.5000	0.7012	0 1 4 1 0	1 5606

Logistic regression 0.5890 0.7012 0.1412 Naive bayesian classifiers 0.5549 0.6250 0.0116

1.3982

Predic from d



THANK YOU

Q & A

